

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,156	09/10/2003	Michael Wayne Bricker	18013 (AT 20958-43)	3718
7590 08/17/2006			EXAM	INER
Robert Kapalka Tyco Electronics Corporation Suite 140 4550 New Linden Hill Road Wilmington, DE 19808			NGUYEN, CHAU N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		10/659,156	BRICKER ET AL.		
	omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAN INC DATE of this area in the	Chau N. Nguyen	2831		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2006. This action is FINAL. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the confere	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (/PTO-413\		
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Despard (6,310,295) in view of Glew et al. (6,639,152).

Art Unit: 2831

Despard (Figure 2A) discloses a cable comprising a core comprising at least one twisted pair of insulated wires, and a jacket surrounding the core (re claim 1). Despard also discloses the core comprising a filler (40) and the at least one twisted pair comprising a plurality of twisted pairs arranged around the filler (re claims 2, 21 and 22).

Despard does not disclose the jacket comprising at least one spline projecting inward from an inner surface of the jacket. Glew discloses a cable comprising a jacket (Figure 1B) comprising at least one spline or a plurality of splines (re claims 3 and 12) projecting inward from the inner surface of the jacket. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the jacket of Despard with a plurality of splines projecting inward from the inner surface of jacket to allow specific spacing between the twisted pair of insulated wires and the inner surface of the jacket such that the electrical properties associated with the wires would be improved as taught by Glew (col. 10, lines 38-41) (re claims 1, 10 and 19).

The modified cable of Despard also discloses at least a portion of the twisted pair being positioned between the spline and the center of the core, the at least one spline is in contact with the twisted pair, and the feature of the at least one spline being in contact with the twisted pair to prevent relative movement of the jacket

Application/Control Number: 10/659,156 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

with respect to the twisted pair is inherent from the modified cable of Despard since it comprises structure and material as claimed.

The modified cable of Despard also comprises the spline being continuously extending on the inner surface of the jacket (re claims 4 & 13), the spline extending along a longitudinal axis of the core (re claims 5 & 14), the at least one spline comprising at least two splines or four splines equally spaced from one another (re claims 7, 8, 16,17 & 20), the spline projecting radially inwardly from the inner surface of the jacket (re claims 9 & 18), the jacket comprising a round inner surface (re claim 10), the core comprising a round central core filler (40) (re claim 11). Re claims 6 and 15, it has been held that the patentability of a product claim is determined by the novelty and nonobviouness of the claimed product itself without consideration of the process, extruded, for making it which is recited in the claim. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Summary

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,156 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Charloys

Art Unit 2831